JAMES DAL BON
CA BAR #157942
LAW OFFICES OF JAMES DAL BON
28 NORTH 1<sup>ST</sup> SUITE 210
SAN JOSE, CA 95113
TEL (408)297-4729
FAX (408)297-4728

FILED

AUG 0 1 2008

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Pd #8 SI

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

E-FILING

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CasCo.08 03722

RAFAEL SENCHAM

Plaintiff,

VS.

DISH DASH LLC, dba DISHDASH

RESTAURANT NADIAH MSHASHA dba

DISHDASH RESTAURANT AND DOES 1-

10

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Defendants

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL:

- Violation of California Labor Code
   Section 510:
- 2) Violations of the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act:
- 3) Violation of California Business and Professions Code Section 17200; and
- 4) Violation of California Labor Code Section 201; and
- 5) Violation of California Labor Code Section 226.

#### NATURE OF CLAIM

This is an action on behalf of DISH DASH LLC, dba DISHDASH RESTAURANT, NADIAH MSHASHA dba DISHDASH RESTAURANT AND DOES 1-10 during the last four years prior to the filing of this Complaint, seeking damages arising from employer's failure to pay overtime as required by the Fair Labor Standards Act and the California Wage Orders and statutes.

Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages for unpaid wages under California Labor Code and Wage Orders, liquidated damages under 29 U.S.C. §216(b), waiting time penalties under California

RS

9

7

11

13

17

25

Labor Code § 203, damages for inadequate pay statements under California Labor Code Section 226, and attorney's fees, costs, pre judgment interest pursuant to California Labor Code § 1194(a) and 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and restitution under California Unfair Trade Practices Act under California Business and Professions Code § 17203.

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff Rafael Sencham is an individual resident of San Jose, California.
- 2. At all times relevant herein, Defendant DISH DASH LLC., is a Limited Liability Company having its principal place of business in Santa Clara County, California.
- 3. At all times relevant herein, Defendant NADIAH MSHASHA is an individual doing business as DISH DASH RESTAURANT in Santa Clara, California.
- 4. Individual Defendants DOES 1-10, at all times relevant herein, are, according to information and belief, owners, managers, directors, associates, related to, or employees of DISH DASH LLC., having control over the Plaintiff 's work condition and work situation.

### GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was an employee of defendants DISH DASH LLC., and NADIAH MSHASHA doing business as a restaurant in Santa Clara County, California.
- 6. Plaintiff was according to information and belief, an employee of Defendants acting in the normal course and scope of his employment duties with Defendants.
- 7. During the course of Plaintiff 's employment with Defendants, Plaintiff regularly worked in excess of 8 hours per day and more than 40 hours per week.
- 8. Plaintiff was paid on an hourly basis.

4

6

12

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

- 9. Plaintiff did not perform "exempt" duties in his position as a kitchen worker with Defendants and thus was not subject to any exemption under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 CFR 541.112, 541.209 and 541.209. The Plaintiff was not even marginally responsible for management or administrative functions, and his primary job did not require him to exercise independent discretion and judgment or regularly require invention or imagination in a recognized field of artistic endeavor more than fifty percent of his working time.
- 10. At no time during the Plaintiff's employment did Plaintiff maintain any professional license with the state or practice any recognized profession, nor did Plaintiff exclusively manage any division of Defendant's restaurant where he customarily and regularly exercised discretionary powers or perform services of management. Plaintiff did not directly supervise any employees nor did he participate in the development of general administrative policies of DISH DASH LLC.

## COUNT ONE: VIOLATION OF CA LABOR CODE SECTION §510 FAILURE TO PROPERLY PAY OVERTIME WAGES

- 11. Plaintiff re-alleges s and incorporates paragraphs 1-10 as if fully stated herein.
- 12. California Labor Code Section 501, applicable at all times relevant herein to Plaintiff's employment by Defendants, provides that all employees is entitled to payment at the rate of time and one half for hours in excess of 8 in one day, or 40 hours in one week, and double time for hours in excess of 12 in one day.
- 13. During the course of employment with Defendants, Plaintiff regularly worked in excess of 8 hours a day and 40 hours per week, however Defendant's knowingly and willingly failed to pay Plaintiff overtime wages as required by law.

22

- 14. California Labor Code Section 1194 provides that it is unlawful for employers not to make the required overtime payments identified in the preceding paragraph and that employees not paid such payments can recover any monies owed by civil action.
- 15. Defendants therefore owe Plaintiff overtime wages not properly paid to Plaintiff in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 16. Defendants have failed and refused and continue to fail and refuse to pay Plaintiff the amount owed.
- 17. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff the required sum violates the provisions of Labor Code Sections 510 and 1194 and is therefore unlawful.
- 18. Pursuant to Labor Code Section 1194(a), Plaintiff requests that the court award Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred by him in this action.

## COUNT TWO: VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT FAILURE TO PROPERLY PAY OVERTIME WAGES

- 19. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1-18 as if fully stated herein.
- 20. At all relevant times herein, Plaintiff 's employment was subject to the provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. and Plaintiff was individual employees covered by virtue of Plaintiff 's direct engagement in interstate commerce.
- 21. The FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207 requires all employees to be paid overtime for work performed in excess of forty hours per week, unless specifically exempted by the law.
- 22. Although Plaintiff was not so exempt during employment with Defendants, and Defendants knowingly caused and permitted Plaintiff to regularly work in excess of forty hours per week without paying Plaintiff one and one half of Plaintiff's regular rate of pay.

- 23. By not paying overtime wages in compliance with the FLSA, defendants violated Plaintiff's rights under the FLSA.
- 24. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' failure to pay proper wages under the FLSA, Plaintiff incurred general damages in the form of lost overtime wages.
- 25. Defendants intentionally, with reckless disregard for their responsibilities under the FLSA, and without good cause, failed to pay Plaintiff proper wages, and thus defendants is liable to Plaintiff for liquidated damages in an amount equal to lost overtime wages, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) of the FLSA.
- 26. Defendants therefore owe Plaintiff overtime not properly paid to Plaintiff, in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 27. Plaintiff was required to retain legal assistance in order to bring this action and, as such, is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) of the FLSA.

# COUNT THREE: VIOLAITON OF CA LABOR CODE SECTION 201 FAILURE TO PAY WAGES DUE AND "WAITING TIME" PENALTIES

- 28. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates the allegations of paragraphs 1-27 as if fully stated herein.
- 29. At the time Plaintiff 's employment with Defendants was terminated, defendant's owed Plaintiff certain unpaid overtime wages in amounts previously alleges d.
- 30. Failure to pay wages owed at an employee's termination as required by Labor Code §201 subjects the employer the payment of a penalty equaling up to 30 days wages, as provided for in Labor Code § 203.
- 31. As of this date, Defendants have failed and refused, and continue to fail and refuse, to pay the amount due, thus making defendants liable to Plaintiff for penalties equal to thirty (30) days wages, in an amount to be determined at trial.

- 32. Pursuant to Labor Code Section 218.5, Plaintiff requests that the court award Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred in this action.
- 33. Pursuant to Labor Code Section 218.6, Plaintiff requests that the court award interest on all due and unpaid wages, at the legal rate specified by Civil Code Section 3289(b), accruing from the date the wages was due and payable.

## COUNT FOUR: VIOLATION OF CA BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17200 UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES

- 34. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates the allegations of paragraphs 1-33 as if fully stated herein.
- 35. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff 's employment with Defendant was subject to the California Labor Code and applicable Wage Orders promulgated by the California Industrial Welfare Commission, which required all employees to be paid overtime for work performed in excess of forty hours per week or eight hours per day, unless specifically exempted by law.
- 36. At all times relevant herein, as the employer of Plaintiff, defendants was subject to the California Unfair Trade Practices Act (California Business and Professions Code § §17000 et seq.), but failed to pay the Plaintiff overtime pay as required by applicable California Labor Code and applicable Wage Orders.
- 37. During the period Plaintiff was employed with Defendants, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff legally required overtime pay to which he was legally entitled, with defendants keeping to themselves the amount which should have been paid to Plaintiff.
- 38. In doing so, defendants violated California Unfair Trade Practices Act, Business and Professions Code §17200, et seq. by committing acts prohibited by applicable California Labor Code provisions, California Wage Orders, and the FLSA, thus giving them a

21

22

23

24

25

competitive advantage over other employers and businesses with whom defendants was in competition and who was in compliance with the law.

- 39. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' violations and failure to pay the required overtime pay, the Plaintiff 's rights under the law was violated and the Plaintiff incurred general damages in the form of unpaid wages in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 40. Defendants had been aware of the existence and requirements of the Unfair Trade Practices Act and the requirements of State and Federal wage and hour laws, but willfully, knowingly, and intentionally failed to pay Plaintiff overtime pay due.
- 41. Plaintiff, having been illegally deprived of the overtime pay to which he was legally entitled, herein seeks restitution of such wages pursuant to the Business and Professions Code §17203 in an amount to be determined at trial.

## COUNT FIVE: VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA LABOR CODE SECTION 226 **INADEQUATE PAY STATEMENTS**

- 42. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates the allegations of paragraphs 1-41 as if fully stated herein.
- 43. California Labor Code Section 226 provides that all employers shall provide to employees accurate and complete wage statements including, but not to limited to, an accurate and current statement of all rates paid for all regular and overtime hours worked during the pay-period, a complete and itemized statement of deductions, net wages earned, the dates fir which payment is being made, any and all applicable piece rates, and the current address ad name of the employer.
- 44. California Labor Code Section 226 further provides that any employee suffering injury due to a willful violation of the aforementioned obligations may collect the greater of either actual damages or 50\$ for the first inadequate pay statement and 100\$ for each inadequate statement thereafter.

11

20

- 45. During the course of Plaintiff 's employment, defendants consistently failed to provide Plaintiff with adequate pay statements as required by California Labor Code §226.
- 46. Defendants failed to provide such adequate statements willingly and with full knowledge of their obligations under Section 226.
- 47. Defendants' failure to provide such adequate statements has caused injury to the Plaintiff.
- 48. Plaintiff is therefore legally entitled to recover actual damages caused by defendants' failure to provide proper records, in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 49. Plaintiff has incurred costs and fees in bringing this action and seeks to recover such costs under California Labor Code §226.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

- 50. For compensatory damages per CA Labor Code §1194 for unpaid overtime wages in an amount to be determined;
- 51. For liquidated damages per the FLSA equal to unpaid overtime wages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- 52. For restitution of unpaid overtime pay pursuant to California Business and Professions Code §17203 in an amount to be determined at trial;
- 53. For waiting time penalty damages of thirty days wages to Plaintiff, pursuant to California Labor Code § 203 in an amount to be determined at trial;
- 54. Damages and penalties for inadequate pay statements pursuant to California Labor Code Section 226 in an amount to be determined at trial;
- 55. For pre-judgment interest of 10% on the unpaid overtime compensation and unpaid salaries pursuant to California Labor Code §1194(a);
- 56. Plaintiff asks the court to award reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to California Labor Code §1194(a) and 29 U.S.C. §216(b) of the FLSA;

ļ	Case 5:08-cv-03722-RS	Document 1	Filed 08/01/2008	Page 9 of 9									
1	57. For costs of suit herein; and	ł											
2	58. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem appropriate.												
3			Tr-sp.m.c	•									
4	AUGUST 1, 2008												
5													
6													
7													
8													
9				2									
0			JAMES	DAL BON									
1			LAW OF	FICES OF JAMES L									
2			SUITE 2	PN FH 1 <sup>ST</sup> STREET									
3			SAN JOS	SE, CA 95113									
4													
5													
5													
`													

JS 44 - No. CHLIF (RS \$/97)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON PAGE TWO)

I.(a) PLAINTIFFS

RAFAEL CASTRORAFAEL SENCHAM

(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)



#### **DEFENDANTS**

DISH DASH LLC, dba DISHDASH RESTAURANT NADIAH MSHASHA dba DISHDASH **RESTAURANT AND DOES 1-10** 

COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE

(C) ATTORNEYO (FIRM)	TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				THE ECONTION O	r Inc			
Law Offices of James 28 North 1st Street St	NAME, ADDRESS, AND TE S Dal Bon Lite 210, San Jose, C	A 95113	LIN	TRACT (	NOWN	E	- )37	22	*
II. BASIS OF JURIS	DICTION (PLACE AN"		<u>_</u>	IZEN SHIP OP	$\bowtie$		APTIES	~	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	✓ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)  ✓ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		PLAINTIFF (For Citi Citi	or diversity cases only) PTF			Incorporated or of Business Incorporated an	AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)  PTF DEF  TPO FATE OF THE PROPERTY	
IV. ORIGIN	(PL	ACE AN " " IN ON	IE BO	K ONLY)					
V. NATURE OF SUIT	2 Removed from  State Court	3 Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Rein	stated or 🔲 5 T.	ransfere nother (sp		6 Multidistric	District	opeal to Judge from ate Judgment
CONTRACT		TORTS		l construction		<del>,</del>			
110 Insurance   120 Marine   130 Marine   130 Marine   140 Negotiable Instrument   150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment   151 Medicare Act   152 Recovery of Defaulted   Student Loans (Excl Veterans)   153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits   160 Stockholders Suits   140 Other Contract   195 Contract Product Liability   195 Contract   175 REAL PROPERTY	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability  320 Assault Libel & Siander  330 Federal Employers Liability  340 Marine  346 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle  365 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Arplane Product Liability  320 Assault Libel & Slander  330 Federal Employers Liability  340 Marine Product Liability  346 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury  360 Other Personal Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS  PRISONER PETITIONS  341 Voting  342 Employment  343 Housing  344 Weifare  340 Mandamus & Other  350 Centeral  350 Centeral		Act Security		□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (US Plaintiff or Defendant □ 871 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7609		OTHER STATUTES  400 State Reapportronment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 480 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 810 Selective Service 880 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 12 USC 3410 881 Agricultural Acts 882 Economic Stabilization Act 883 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 960 Constitutionality of State Statutes 890 Other Statutory Actions	
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing ☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights								
I. CAUSE OF ACTION URISDICTIONAL STATUTES	(CITE THE US CIVIL S S UNLESS DIVERSITY)	T	CH YO	U ARE FILING AND	WRITE	BRIEF S	STATEMENT O	F CAUSE. DO N	OT CITE
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASE(S	UNDER F.R.C.P. 2	23		<b>ND\$</b> 43,000.00		JURY I	DEMAND: 17	lemanded in con	
		REFER TO CIVIL L.R.	3-12 C	ONCERNING REQU	IREME	NT TO F	LE "NOTICE C	F RELATED C	ASE".
X. DIVISIONAL ASSIG	NMENT (CIVIL L.R.	3-2) (PLACE A "- "	'IN ON	E BOX ONLY)	SAN	FRANC	ISCO/OAKI A	ND DOSAN	IOSE

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

Digitally signed by James Dal Bon Date: 2008.08.01 14:41:00 -07'00'